

## Mortality Structured Judgement Review (SJR) Proforma (V1.0)

Please complete the patient's details below using your clinical judgement. This form should be reviewed by a senior nurse or senior doctor e.g. SpR or above.

Case-notes reviewed

Case-notes reviewed							
Patient Name:	Hosp I						
	Age / I						
Date of admission:		print your n	name:				
Admission diagnosis:		Job title:					
Data of Daath.		ct number:					
Date of Death:	Date	f review:					
Expectation of death	202			Vac 🗆	No 🗆		
Was this patient expected to die at admission				Yes □ Yes □	No □ No □		
Was this patient expected to die subsequently?  Brief Case Summary/Synopsis				l les 🗆	I INO LL		
brief Case Suffilliary/Syriopsis							
MCCD 1a Cause of death:							
Coroners Case: Yes 🗆 / No 🗅							
Colonels dasc . Tes E / No E							
Elective Admission □			Emerger	ncy Admissio	n П		
Admitted from:			<u></u>	icy / tarrilecte			
	esidential/Nurs	ing/Care Ho	me□	Other 🗆			
	ther Hospital D			Unable to tell			
Phase of Care 1: On Admission (first 24hrs) – Brief description							
		- 1					
Care during this phase was	Very Poor	-1 Poor-2	Adequate-3	Good-4	Excellent-5		
During the first 24hrs of Admission, was							
Was the patient seen by consultant within 1		sion	Yes □	No □	Unable to tell □		
Was patient death anticipated within 24hrs	of admission		Yes □	No □	Unable to tell □		
Phase of Care 2: On-going care – Brief description							
	., 5	4 5 0			F "		
Care during this phase was	Very Poor	-1 Poor-2	Adequate-3	Good-4	Excellent-5		
During Admission, did any of the following occur? (tick all that apply)							
Sepsis			Yes □	No □	Unable to tell □		
AKI			Yes □	No 🗆	Unable to tell		
Hospital Acquired Infection (Pneumonia, Covid, C-Diff, etc)			Yes □	No 🗆	Unable to tell		
In-patient Fall			Yes □	No □	Unable to tell		
Inappropriate ward transfers			Yes □	No 🗆	Unable to tell		
Unexpected return to Theatre			Yes □	No 🗆	Unable to tell		
Signs of deterioration that were not acted upon			Yes □	No □	Unable to tell □		

Phase of Care 3: Care during a procedure - Brief description or tick here if No procedure was undertaken □						
Care during this phase was	Very Poor-1	Poor-2	Adequate-3	Good-4 I	Excellent-5	
oure during this phase was	VCIY I OOI I	1 001 2	racquate o	0000 + 1	EXOCIICITE O	
Phase of Care 4: Peri-Operative Care – B	rief description	or tick here	e if No procedi	ure was und	lertaken □	
•			•			
Core during this phase was	Very Poor-1	Door 2	Adequate-3	Good-4	Excellent-5	
Care during this phase was	very Poor-1	P001-2	Adequate-5	G000-4	Excellent-5	
Phase of Care 5: End of Life Care – Brief	description					
	•					
Care during this phase was	Very Poor-1	Poor-2	Adequate-3	Good-4	Excellent-5	
	that apply)		Voo □	No 🗆	Unable to tell □	
Regular Consultant Review  A Personalised Care Plan to support the pa	tient's death		Yes □ Yes □	No □ No □	Unable to tell $\square$	
RESPECT form completed	tiont 3 death		Yes 🗆	No 🗆	Unable to tell	
Discussions with family/carers regarding de	terioration		Yes □	No □	Unable to tell □	
Evidence that Patient's End of Life wishes w	vere followed		Yes □	No □	Unable to tell □	
Assessment of Problems in Care	. V	Diagona	etierre beleur	Dualdon to	man than OAsO	
Were there any problems with the care of the patient?	e Yes □ No □				ypes then OAoC Assessment of Care	
patient:	110 🗖	1 10430 00	minuc uncerry	to Overall P	ASSESSITION OF CARE	
Problem type(s) (tick/select an answer only for	or those that occ	urred) If	f this problem	occurred	did it lead to harm?	
Assessment, investigation or diagno	OSİS [incl risk of pr	essure ulcer,	Yes	□ No □	□ Probably □	
falls, VTE]  2. Medication / IV fluids / electrolytes / oxygen			Yes	□ No [	☐ Probably ☐	
Treatment and management plan [incl prevention of pressure ulcer,			Yes			
falls, VTE]			Yes	□ No [	7 Probably □	
<ul><li>4. Infection control management</li><li>5. Operation / invasive procedure</li></ul>			Yes		,	
Clinical monitoring [incl failure to recognise / respond to changes]			Yes			
7. Resuscitation following a cardiac or respiratory arrest			Yes			
8. Other problem not fitting in the cate	gories above		Yes	□ No [	□ Probably □	
Overall Assessment of Care [in accordance with good practice] – Brief description						
Care overall was Very P	oor-1 Poor-2	Adequat	e-3 Good-4	Excellent	t-5	
Documentation						
Standard of Documentation was	Very Poor-	l Poor-2	Adequate-3	Good-4	Excellent-5	
Order of the Case-notes were	Very Poor-		Adequate-3	Good-4	Excellent-5	
Was death considered to be >50% chance	e avoidable?			Ye	s □ No □	

Please list learning points / changes in practice / good practice for sharing / any other actions eg resulting from M&M discussion:					
1					
2					
3					
A b ov co	includes learning relevant to other englished. V /	NI			
Above	includes learning relevant to other specialties: Y /	IN .			
Outco	me from Structured Judgement Review				
1.		shove and no problems in care			
١.	identified which probably or did lead to harm)				
2.					
	Please record date and outcome of M&M Discussion below:				
	Tiodoo Tooota aato ana oatoomo of mam bioda	Colon Bolow.			
3.					
identified which probably or did lead to harm)					
Higher	Level Review (To be completed by Trust Morta	ality Lead or nominated Clinician)			
9		,			
Outcome from Higher Level Review					
No further action required					
<ol><li>Case to be discussed/presented at Trust Mortality Group for shared Learning</li></ol>					
Escalation to Incident/Investigation					
4.	4. Other outcome (as specified above) □				
		Date of Review:			
Higher					

This template was first adopted by Great Western Hospital, Swindon and modified for use at SFT, Feb 2022. Version 1.0 approved for use: March 2022, modified February 2023.

## RCP guidance for Reviewers:

https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/sites/default/files/media/Documents/NMCRR%20guide%20England 0.pdf